From: Christian, Doretha
To: Tzhone, Stephen

Subject: RE: Arkwood (06A3) Cost Recovery Demand/Bill for Collection

Date: Thursday, April 30, 2015 5:28:00 PM

Stephen,

I'll review your additional questions next week and get back with you.

From: Tzhone, Stephen

Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 2:51 PM

To: Christian, Doretha; Kelley, Karen; Andrews, Lawrence; Moran, Gloria

Cc: Berg, Marlene; Sanchez, Carlos; Meyer, John; Crumbling, Deana; Andrews, Lawrence

Subject: RE: Arkwood (06A3) Cost Recovery Demand/Bill for Collection

Deana Crumbling is also an HQ specialist on this site. I see her hours but it's in regional payroll costs... should that be moved to HQ payroll costs?

Also, do we have a fact sheet or intranet page that explains the EPA indirect costs (currently at \$127,923.08, which is higher than all of the other categories). I would like to know just for my information.

From: Christian, Doretha

Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 2:35 PM

To: Tzhone, Stephen; Kelley, Karen; Andrews, Lawrence; Moran, Gloria

Cc: Berg, Marlene; Sanchez, Carlos; Meyer, John

Subject: RE: Arkwood (06A3) Cost Recovery Demand/Bill for Collection

Yes that is correct. Cost is for 1 payroll hour charged in 1 pay period for Marlene Berg.

From: Tzhone, Stephen

Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 2:06 PM

To: Kelley, Karen; Andrews, Lawrence; Moran, Gloria

Cc: Christian, Doretha; Berg, Marlene; Sanchez, Carlos; Meyer, John **Subject:** RE: Arkwood (06A3) Cost Recovery Demand/Bill for Collection

HQ payroll costs is.... \$77.34?

From: Kelley, Karen

Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 1:35 PM

To: Andrews, Lawrence; Tzhone, Stephen; Moran, Gloria

Cc: Christian, Doretha

Subject: Arkwood (06A3) Cost Recovery Demand/Bill for Collection

Site Team,

We are in the process of sending a cost recovery demand letter/bill to Jean Mescher, Director Environmental Services at McKesson Corp. for reimbursement of response costs for the time frame of 03/01/2014 – 02/28/2015 in the amount of \$344,560.82. This bill is due June, 2015. In an effort to prevent the possibility of including costs not applicable for billing, costs that may need to be omitted and to reduce the probability for costs billed to be disputed, we request that the site team carefully review the attached cost documentation summary and verify that all costs are applicable for billing as required in the settlement agreement. The complete certified cost package, which includes the supporting documentation, is available for review and is stored at my desk. In addition, please provide a projected estimate for next year's response costs for inclusion in this demand letter and as a courtesy to the PRP. We request that the site team discuss this and provide a consolidated estimate for all future costs associated with the next annual bill, inclusive of any potential Enforcement Support Services (ESS) related costs. Last year's projection for response costs was \$350,000.00.

If you have any questions regarding the attached documentation, please contact Doretha.

Karen M. Kelley
NOWCC Employee/Assistant
Enforcement Assessment Section (6SF-TE)
Phone (214) 665-2227
Kelley.Karen@epa.gov